

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/522,711	(03/10/2000	Takashi Kenmochi	P65138US0	6046	
136	7590	11/17/2004		EXAMINER		
JACOBSO 400 SEVEN			DANG, DUY M			
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2621		
				DATE MAILED: 11/17/200	DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	

D1112 M1122D. 1111/1200-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/522,711	KENMOCHI, TAKASHI						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Duy M Dang	2621						
The MAILING DATE of this communication арр Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/1.	/04.							
, , ,	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i>	nce except for formal matters, pro							
Disposition of Claims		;						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,8 and 21-28</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •	* .						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 8</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21,22,25 and 26</u> is/are rejected.								
7) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>23-24 and 27-28</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>23-24 and 27-28</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) \square objected to by the \square	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	` '						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		` '						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fir 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language process.	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not received in priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) st sentence of the specification of	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet.						
a) [_] The translation of the foreign language pro								
reference was included in the first sentence of the								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)						
<u> </u>								

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/1/04 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1, 8 and 21-28 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 21-22 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Augenbraun et al. (US Patent No. 5,654,759, art of record filed 11/20/03, paper #6).

Regarding claim 21, Augenbraun teaches an apparatus for detecting a block noise generated on an input video signal that has been coded and decoded per pixel block (see col. 1 lines 5-10), the apparatus comprising:

a differentiator to differentiate the input video signal per pixel block to obtain a differentiated signal (i.e., the use of the pixel differences to determine the cost function mentioned in col. 2 lines 39-41 and col. 8 lines 14-23. Note the equation shown in column 8 lines 14-23, the differences between the pixel blocks A and B, and A and C refer to these claimed features);

Art Unit: 2621

a detector to detect a solitary differentiated points on the differentiated signal and output a first detection signal having a first level for each solitary differentiated point and a second level for each portion of the differentiated signal at which no solitary differentiated pointed is detected; and (i.e., the blockiness identification circuit 202 employs a cost function to identify video data corresponding to blocky frames or images mentioned in col. 7 lines 65-67 and figure 2. Note the video data corresponding to blocky frames or images refers to the so called "solitary differentiated points". This interpretation appears to consistent with applicant's disclosed figure 3C and page7 lines 19-20);

a first processor to receive the first detection signal and a first delay signal (i.e., the signal representing the first and second blocks shown in figure 7), output a first addition signal in which the first detection signal and the first delay signal are added to each other (see adder 12 of figure 1) and delay the first addition signal by a period corresponding to a total number of pixels on a horizontal direction in each pixel block (see figure 7. Note that block 2 is delayed by a period corresponding to the number of pixels of block 1 on the horizontal direction), the first addition signal thus delayed being fed back to the first processor as the first delay signal (see output from motion compensation 28 to adders 12 and 24 in figure 1), the block noise generated on the input video signal being detected based on the first addition signal (see output of the blockiness identifier 32 of figure 1).

Regarding claims 22, it is noted that the claimed features is similar to the processing signal of subsequent block, i.e., block 3 of figure 3 as taught by Augenbraun.

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claims 25-26, it is noted that these two claim are method claims reciting similar features called for in claims 21-22. Thus, claims 25-26 are also rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 21-22 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 23-24 27-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 23-24 and 27-28 would be allowable because the cited prior art (Augenbraun) fails to teach or suggest the features recited in claims 23 and 27. Dependent claims 24 and 28 are also allowable for the same reasons.

6. Claims 1 and 8 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claims 1 and 8 are allowed because the cited prior art (Augenbraun) fails to teach or suggest the features of: a counter to count the number of integrated impulses of the integrated detection signal per predetermined unit of image carried by the input video signal; a plurality of delay section each delaying the counted number by a period decided based on the predetermined unit of image, thus outputting count signals for succeeding images in the predetermining unit of image, and a median section to select a middle count signal among the count signals, which is the middle in level, the middle count signal being compared with the reference signal.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

Art Unit: 2621

Page 5

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Duy M Dang whose telephone number is 703-305-1464. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30AM to 2:00PM...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Leo H Boudreau can be reached on 703-305-4706. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dmd

11/12/04

Duy M. Dang

Patent Examiner